

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

The Greenfield Township Trustees met in regular session at the firehouse. Trustee Searle called the meeting to order and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance. Kent Searle, Lonnie Kosch and Dave Cotner were present.

After each trustee reviewed the minutes from the Trustees' Meeting held on April 27, 2022, Trustee Searle asked if there were any changes to the minutes. Trustee Cotner made a motion to approve the minutes as written; Trustee Kosch seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion Passed 3-0

FLOOR:

The first speaker was Walter Beatty, Lancaster Kirkersville Road. Mr. Beatty was returned to the Trustee Meeting with the edited plans for the Rolling Hills Storage facility, which were requested at the last Trustee Meeting on April 27, 2022. Mr. Beatty stated with the presentation of these plans, he is still asking for permission to put the additional four buildings in the storage facility on Lot 1.

The next speaker was Kim Anderson, 1767 Cloverdale Drive. Ms. Anderson stated she was in attendance to represent the neighborhood residents who have been impacted negatively by the storage facility. She reiterated the concerns that were expressed in prior meetings about why the storage facility should not build more buildings. She noted with the fence issue, she thought a fence would need to be built before any buildings had been built. Other concerns included being located next to an elementary school, increased traffic in the neighborhood, the concern about only having one way in and out/one road to the storage facility, the accessibility of the fire department being able to get their engines and vehicles in and out of the facility, concerns about public urination loitering, and other concerning behaviors. She also expressed concern about the facility being secured appropriately in order to keep people out who have no business in the facility. She also noted the trust issue that had been mentioned previously. Ms. Anderson said she realized Greenfield Township is in the middle of the situation, but she and the residents would appreciate the Board's consideration of their concerns.

Discussion continued regarding the gated entry. Ms. Anderson noted she was able to drive past the neighbor's house and turn around. Trustee Searle stated the road is a public road upon which a gate cannot be built. Ms. Anderson again stated her concerns of increasing the size of the facility due to the loitering, sleeping and other concerning activities that have been observed.

Trustee Searle asked Mr. Beatty how many units are in the facility currently. Mr. Beatty stated there are 207 units, with 47 of those units being rented by River Valley residents. He also stated three of the four neighbors are renters. Trustee Searle also asked the amount or percentage of units rented at the current time. Mr. Beatty responded there are 150-160 units rented currently. Trustee Searle calculated this to be approximately 75% of the facility being rented currently. He asked Mr. Beatty why he wished to build more buildings/units. Mr. Beatty responded that they are running out of certain sizes of rental units.

Trustee Cotner stated that he did not believe there are any parties being held or drugs being sold in the property, as well as no one living in the units or working out of the units. He also stated that 90% of the project is already completed, and that the Board had approved the whole property, without the buildings on there. He went on to state that Mr. Beatty immediately paid

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

the money that was due for the square footage of the additional buildings, once he had received a letter from the Township. Mr. Beatty also went to the Board of Zoning Appeals, Greenfield Township, and went through the right channels. Trustee Cotner also addressed the traffic issues within the neighborhood. He noted he was informed that 60 new pupils began attending the elementary school this school year, which obviously increased the traffic greatly. He suggested the residents should work with Lancaster City Schools on a strategy to improve this issue. Ms. Anderson responded that the residents have attempted to work with Lancaster City Schools and the police department on this issue. She reiterated multiple concerns from a resident who attended a meeting previously who lives next to the storage unit. Trustee Cotner stated the neighbors who attended the last meeting asked to have the privacy fence/buffer zone installed between their property and the storage unit. He also noted there were letters sent to the homes of the people who live at adjoining properties to the storage unit before the public hearing; however, those people did not attend the hearing. He also went on to note inappropriate behavior by attendees of the last meeting who did not attend the hearing, but came to the Board of Trustees meeting. This included multiple accusations and using foul language and cursing at him, resulting in shutting the meeting down and asking them to leave.

Kelli Prinz, 2117 Lavender Street, was the next speaker. She stated she felt part of the frustration was due to the homes nearby the storage unit who were not sent a letter to make them aware of the request to build more buildings; therefore, they did not attend any of the meetings. Trustee Cotner pointed out that the Ohio Revised Code only requires the adjacent property owners to be notified. In addition, the other residents are in the city of Lancaster. Trustee Searle noted a public notice was also posted in the local paper.

Mr. Beatty pointed out that on the app "Next Door" for the River Valley neighborhood, there have been several postings about the trustee and zoning meetings. He stated out of 2,098 residents, there are two that have continually attended to complain, and they are not Greenfield Township residents.

Discussion continued with Trustee Searle asking if the additional buildings would have a gate installed. Mr. Beatty pointed out there is a gate there now; once the fence is built, the gate will be activated. Trustee Searle explained he had been out to meet with Mr. Beatty last week, and in a 45 minute timeframe, there was only one car observed coming into the storage unit during the middle of the day; therefore, he doesn't feel this presents an issue of causing a great increase in additional traffic in the neighborhood. Also, with the addition of the 32 units Mr. Beatty is requesting, this will include putting a privacy fence around the property. This will conceal the storage units.

Ms. Anderson stated she felt that adding even the additional 32 units with any additional traffic is adding to a bad situation. She asked what would happen in the future when Mr. Beatty wants to expand his storage facility further. The Board responded that Mr. Beatty does not have any more property that is zone PRB. He would be required to request all new zoning for any additional land, and also present a new development plan for the land.

Discussion was held as to how long it will take to build the new buildings, and especially how long it will take to get the fence installed. Mr. Beatty stated he thought the entire project would take approximately six to eight months. Trustee Cotner also confirmed that a chain link fence would be installed around the back of the property.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

Trustee Cotner made a motion to approve the four more buildings and the fence, and give a timeline of a year, other than if there is a storm or another Covid outbreak, or some other extenuating circumstance. Trustee Kosch seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: No Motion Passed 2-0

Trustee Searle noted the development plan was approved, and Mr. Beatty can proceed. He advised Mr. Beatty that it would probably be in his best interest as a show of support to the community to get the fence installed as soon as possible, even though the motion gives him a year to do so.

Mr. Beatty asked Trustee Searle why he voted no on the issue. Trustee Searle stated he had given his opinion on the issue at the last meeting, especially in regard to the fact that the RPC did not support this development plan initially. Also, no one from the community had supported the expansion. Trustee Searle reiterated that his vote was not personal; he shares the concerns of the community.

Zoning Officer Barbee asked Mr. Beatty to sign and date a master copy of the amended development plan for PRB for the Township file.

FROM THE FISCAL OFFICER:

Fiscal Officer Wyne distributed financial reports.

Fiscal Officer Wyne advised the April bank reconciliation has been completed and submitted to the Trustees for review and signature.

Trustee Cotner made a motion to pay the bills associated with warrants 34708 through 34742 and Electronic Debits 59-2022 through 67-2022 which are listed on the payment report; seconded by Trustee Kosch.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion Passed 3-0

Trustee Kosch made a motion to approve the Purchase Orders and/or Then and Nows; Trustee Cotner seconded.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion Passed 3-0

Fiscal Officer Wyne presented Resolution 2022 05 011 01 regarding the ARPA which addressed the \$123 in legal fees that were paid, as well as the \$61,540.41 premium pay that was paid to the firefighters. She explained she had spoken to a representative from OBM (Office of Budget and Management - State of Ohio) who recommended that she correct her reporting on the ARPA. This will decrease the paperwork on this reporting going forward.

Trustee Cotner moved for the adoption Resolution 2022 05 11 01 of the following:

WHEREAS, the Board of Greenfield Township Trustees (“the Board”) has received a distribution of monies (the “ARPA Funds”) from the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (“ARPA” or the “Act”); and

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

WHEREAS, Congress passed the Act effective March 11, 2021; an

WHEREAS, Section 603 created the Coronavirus Local Fiscal Recovery Fund which, among other things, appropriated money to cities, nonentitlement units of local government, and counties to mitigate the fiscal effects stemming from the public health emergency with respect to the Coronavirus Disease (Covid-19); and

WHEREAS, Section 603(c) generally provides that:

(1) USE OF FUNDS. Subject to paragraph (2), and except as provided in paragraphs (3) and (4), a metropolitan city, nonentitlement unit of local government, or county shall only use the funds provided under a payment made under this section to cover costs incurred by the metropolitan city, nonentitlement unit of local government, or county, by December 31, 2024 -

(A) to respond to the public health emergency with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID–19) or its negative economic impacts, including assistance to households, small businesses, and nonprofits, or aid to impacted industries such as tourism, travel, and hospitality;

(B) to respond to workers performing essential work during the COVID–19 public health emergency by providing premium pay to eligible workers of the metropolitan city, nonentitlement unit of local government, or county that are performing such essential work, or by providing grants to eligible employers that have eligible workers who perform essential work;

(C) for the provision of government services to the extent of the reduction in revenue of such metropolitan city, nonentitlement unit of local government, or county due to the COVID–19 public health emergency relative to revenues collected in the most recent full fiscal year of the metropolitan city, nonentitlement unit of local government, or county prior to the emergency; or

(D) to make necessary investments in water, sewer, or broadband infrastructure.

WHEREAS, Department of Treasury Final Rule, published on January 6, 2022, and effective April 1, 2022, provides in part that: Treasury presumes that up to \$10 million in revenue has been lost due to the public health emergency and recipients are permitted to use that amount (not to exceed the award amount) to fund “government services.” [The “standard allowance”].

WHEREAS, the Rule further observes that: The standard allowance provides an estimate of revenue loss that is based on an extensive analysis of average revenue loss across states and localities, and offers a simple, convenient way to determine revenue loss particularly for Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund’s smallest recipients. This change is intended to promote administrative efficiency and simplify revenue loss calculation for smaller recipients.

WHEREAS, the Rule further clarifies that recipients can use: SLFRF funds on government services up to the revenue loss amount, whether that be the standard allowance amount or the amount calculated using the [Final Rule four-step process]. Government services generally

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

include any service traditionally provided by a government, unless treasury has stated otherwise.

WHEREAS, some common examples of “government services” expressly recognized by Treasury are as follows::

- Road building and maintenance, and other infrastructure
- Health services
- General government administration, staff, and administrative facilities
- Environmental remediation
- Provision of police, fire, and other public safety services (including purchase of fire trucks and police vehicles)
- Maintenance or pay-go funded building infrastructure
- Modernization of cybersecurity, including hardware, software, and protection of critical infrastructure

WHEREAS, “Government services is [deemed by Treasury] the most flexible eligible use category under the SLFRF program, and funds are subject to streamlined reporting and compliance requirements;” and

WHEREAS, funds utilized pursuant to the standard revenue loss allowance continue to have certain restrictions, including:

- Deposit into pension funds
- Satisfaction of settlements or judgments
- Contributions to financial reserves or “rainy day” funds

WHEREAS, the Board has identified projects which, in the judgment of the Board, qualifies as a permitted use of the ARPA Funds, in direct support of governmental services, which consists of the following:

\$61,540.41 for Premium Pay for Firefighters
\$123.00 for Legal Counsel (the “Projects”).

WHEREAS, the Board will comply with applicable state and federal procurement requirements regarding the expenditure of its funds under the SRLRF program.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby RESOLVED by the Board that:

1. The Township elected to use the standard allowance by way of Resolution No. 2022 03 23 04, passed on March 23, 2022, and its presumption of revenue loss due to the public health emergency and to use the amount authorized herein to fund government services.
2. The Projects are hereby authorized and shall be paid for from the ARPA Funds in the amount of/an amount not to exceed: \$61,663.41
3. The Projects described herein serves the objectives of the Act by providing services traditionally provided by a government, namely:

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

- Fire and emergency medical services
- Road repair, maintenance and other transportation and safety services
- Public infrastructure support
- General government administration and administrative facilities
- Land use regulations and enforcement
- Parks and recreational facilities and programs
- Other

1. Accordingly, the Projects are in the best interests of the Township and is deemed a priority for the community.
2. No obligations paid under the authority of this Resolution were incurred prior to March 3, 2021.
3. The Township will comply with applicable state and federal procurement requirements in expending funds under the SLRF program.

Trustee Kosch seconded the Motion, and thereupon, the votes in favor of this Resolution were recorded and reflected by the signatures hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: that it is hereby found and determined that all formal actions of this Township concerning and relating to the adoption of this Resolution were adopted in an open meeting of the Township Trustees, and that all deliberations of the Township Trustees and any of its committees that resulted in such formal action, were in a meeting open to the public, in compliance with all legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

ZONING DEPARTMENT BUSINESS: Caitlin Barbee, Zoning Inspector, presented the following items:

Junk Cars on State Route 158: Caitlin had spoken with Julie at Brosious and they are awaiting the title search results. This statute requirement must be met; then, letters can be sent to the lien holders and they will have 14 days to comply. Trustee Cotner stated Rusty’s Towing was used for this type of situation in the past. They can bring multiple tow trucks and have the sheriff there, so it can all be done in one trip. Caitlin will check with other towing companies, as

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

well. There may be a chance that the cars will be moved once a letter with the resolution is sent to the property owner, after the title search results are received.

Pleasantville Road Property Complaint: Caitlin also spoke to Julie regarding this complaint by a property owner regarding his next door neighbor, and all the random and various items and equipment he has stored about his property. It had also been reported that the owner built a structure without a permit. Pictures were forwarded to Julie, as well. She advised that the Board needs to determine how they want to handle this type of situation as a policy going forward. There is a portion of section 405 within the code that speaks to prohibition on some exterior storage, but it is primarily related to unused motor vehicles. Caitlin has sent one letter to the property owner; however, he has not responded. Discussion continued as to what the next steps should be based on the zoning code as well as the ORC. It was noted that the neighbor has had multiple conversations with his neighbor about his property. Trustee Searle recommended a letter be sent to the property owner regarding the structure that was built without a permit. However, it doesn't appear that the Township can take any action regarding the storage of items on the property. This will be relayed to the resident lodging the complaint.

Variances Granted with No Zoning Permit on File: Caitlin reported she has found a small number of situations from spring of last year where variances were granted with no zoning permit on file, so she needs the Board to advise how to proceed with this issue. Fiscal Officer Wyne stated she had sat in on the meetings in the past, and the owners are not told they need to obtain a permit once they have been granted a variance, so they are not aware; this needs to happen at the BZA. Caitlin stated she gives a permit application to a property owner once they are granted a variance. After further discussion, it was determined that letters will be sent to those property owners who appear to be missing a permit, ask if they have one; and if so, ask them to provide a copy to the township. If they did not receive a permit, they will be asked to apply for one. Fiscal Officer Wyne asked for a list of the names that Caitlin has found so she can assist with looking for any zoning records that may be in the office, before any letters are sent.

Zoning Commission Meeting on May 18, 2022: Caitlin reported this meeting is being held next week to review the B-1 and HB section. She is bringing these questions to the Board on behalf of the Zoning Commission. Lengthy discussion continued as to the differences in these two types of zoning, how and why it was written that way, and what the recommendation to the Zoning Commission might be. In reading the specific section: "Any future rezoning to commercial business district after the effective date of this zoning code will be limited to highway business district, or planned district for commercial use", both Trustee Searle and Ms. Barbee agreed that no more B-1 zoning should be issued. Ms. Barbee noted most of the businesses are currently zoned under B-1, and the standards for the two zoning sections have different requirements. The Zoning Commission is looking at trying to marry up the two zoning sections. It was noted that the B-1 section couldn't be changed to the point that it made the current B-1's out of compliance. After further discussion, it was decided that the prosecutor's office would be consulted for their input. Trustee Searle and Ms. Barbee agreed that it made the most sense to have the codes mirror each other.

OTA Meeting - Multi-Family Housing Issue: Trustee Searle stated he and Trustee Kosch had attended the Fairfield County OTA meeting where Commissioner Fix and a representative from Genoa Township presented the issue of the severe housing shortage in Fairfield County and central Ohio. Another meeting on this subject is planned in the near future. With the building of

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

Intel and the influx of workers and families to the area, the takeaway message was that townships are being strongly encouraged to change their zoning to allow multi-family housing. The following statistics were shared: 8,500 people will be coming to the area to build the Intel facility; 3,000 more will arrive when it opens. In the next twenty years, one million more people will be moving to the central Ohio area. Discussion continued as to the future of any multi-family housing being built in the township, and what that would look like. The OTA recommends that the townships formulate a comprehensive plan that would involve the community's input. Caitlin noted the recent increase in township zoning activity, phone calls, variance requests, zoning verifications, etc. She believes a lot of this activity is related to the anticipated need for increased housing.

Trustee Searle recognized Deputy Minnear and asked if he had any business to be brought to the Board. He stated he did not have any business at this time.

ROAD AND CEMETERY DEPARTMENT BUSINESS: Tom Shafer, Road & Cemetery Superintendent, presented the following items:

Road Mowers-New Tire Purchase: Tom reported the road mowers are ready to be used. He stated he'd like to put two new drive tires on the 6320 John Deere road mower that currently has the ditching head on it. He has contacted three vendors: Mid-State Tire, Smetzer's, and Hartman's. He got prices back from the first two companies; he did not get a price from Hartman's. He noted the large tires are very hard to come by right now. The cost from Mid-State, who is on contract, for the mounting, balancing and service call is \$1,979.93. Fiscal Officer Wyne noted that she had created a form for these types of purchases, so it can be completed and all paperwork attached when the quote is sought. Then, she is able to complete the purchase order, obtain the Trustee signatures, and keep the process flowing efficiently. It is also necessary for documentation and audit purposes. She asked that this form be used from now on. Trustee Cotner made a motion to approve the purchase of two rear tires for the John Deere 6320 tractor from Mid-State Tire at a cost of \$1,979.93. Trustee Searle seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion Passed 3-0

Cemetery Footers: Tom reported he had four footers to pour, and this will be done in the coming week, weather permitting. Discussion continued as to establishing a timeline and/or cut-off date for these footers to be poured, in order to avoid having several last minute requests coming in. Trustee Searle agreed with Trustee Kosch's idea of having a deadline of May 1 for any cemetery footer requests to be submitted.

Township Road Question: Trustee Searle reported he had received a question from a resident about a road that appears on GPS; however, there doesn't appear to be an actual road in that place. It runs from Plum Road, east of the church, to Coonpath where all the businesses are located. Trustee Cotner stated there had been a private drive on that property where vehicles were driven, but it was not a proper, legal road; it was a private drive. Trustee Searle will respond to the resident with that information.

Part-Time Road Employee Hiring: Trustee Cotner asked if all three potential candidates had passed their background checks and drug tests so they could be hired. Fiscal Officer Wyne stated she was waiting on one more drug test result. Discussion continued as to hiring two vs. all three of the candidates, as was discussed at the Special Trustee Meeting, once all

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

requirements were met and test results received. It was also noted that two of the three candidates have a CDL; one is in the process of obtaining his CDL. After further discussion regarding scheduling and rate of pay, Trustee Cotner made a motion to hire Scott Shepherd at a rate of \$15 per hour, and Jim Reinheld at a rate of \$23 per hour for the Road Department, contingent upon all background checks and test results being received. Trustee Searle seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: No Searle: Yes Motion Passed 2-1

Fiscal Officer Wyne stated she would contact the candidates to advise them when they can begin work, once all required checks and tests have been received.

FIRE DEPARTMENT BUSINESS: Chief Brad Smith presented the following items:

Chief Smith thanked the township residents for voting and passing the recent fire levy.

ARP Funds - Possible Grant: Chief Smith noted there is an opportunity to apply for a grant for the township in the amount of \$70 million. Trustee Cotner recommended that someone be hired to apply for this grant so the Fiscal Officer does not need to complete this work. This person can also be paid with ARP funds. Chief Smith stated there is an informative webinar this Friday to explain this grant further, and he provided the information for the Board to review. The grant pertains to hiring, payroll, retention bonuses, wellness checks, peer support and wellness programs to help with the firefighters in the service. The township may be able to use the county grant writers for this grant.

Hose Tester Purchase with Basil Joint Fire District: This item was tabled from the last meeting. Trustee Searle had received an email response from Amy Brown-Thompson, which he read in part: "The Township can do this under ORC 9.482. I recommend that Greenfield Township reach out to Liberty Township to discuss with them about the feasibility of doing this, and hammering out the terms of use and payment. Once both townships have come to an agreement in principle, we can put together an agreement for both townships to sign. Since we represent both townships, you would be responsible for negotiating an agreement without our assistance, as we can't pick one client over the other. Just let me know what you come up with, and we can proceed from there". Chief Smith said this agreement would make the most sense, and be a benefit to both departments, since the department only needs to use it about two weeks out of the year. He stated he would write up an agreement to be reviewed, as he has already discussed it with Liberty Township. The townships have agreed that whomever is using the hose tester is responsible for any repairs, etc. The two townships have already agreed to split the cost of \$1,591.16 between each township. It was agreed that Chief Smith could work directly with Amy to get this agreement finalized. Trustee Searle will forward the email from Amy Brown-Thompson to both Chief Smith and Fiscal Officer Wyne.

Station Generator Replacement: Chief Smith reported he is still waiting on a third quote. Both of the quotes he has received have been \$42,000. Also, the lead time is being estimated at 10-12 months. Chief Smith reported Brad Hutchinson of Company Wrench has offered to allow the department to use his generator at no charge. However, Claypool Electric will need to be contracted to make a "pigtail"/adaptor in order to be able to use the generator at the fire station. Claypool estimated this cost to be \$2,600. Trustee Cotner made a motion to hire

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

Claypool Electric to make a "pigtail" adaptor for temporary use of the generator at a cost of \$2,600. Trustee Searle seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion Passed 3-0

Chief Smith hopes to have the third quote to share with the Board at the next meeting.

Medic 562: The medic is currently at Midwest Towing. They have advised that the kingpin is not functioning (front driver's side kingpin). This repair is estimated to cost approximately \$1,400, and should be done by next week. Fiscal Officer Wyne recommended having a motion done so she can create the purchase order in anticipation of this bill. After discussion, it was determined the motion should be for a cost of \$2,000, in case there are additional, unexpected costs over the \$1,400 estimate. Trustee Searle made a motion to approve having Midwest Towing to repair the medic kingpin for up to \$2,000 in cost. Trustee Cotner seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion Passed 3-0

Chief Smith stated they still have Basil's medic running as their second out.

FMC Heart Watch Ribbon-Cutting Ceremony for CPR Trailer: Chief Smith shared a congratulatory letter received from Governor Dewine, as well as some pictures from the ceremony. He noted there is already a lot of interest in utilizing the trailer.

Status Update on the New Medic: Chief Smith presented a simplified one-page agreement to lock in the price that had been agreed upon. He said the rebate will fluctuate based on what Ford decides to do in the future. The estimated price at this point is \$273,152 for the diesel truck. Fiscal Officer Wyne stated nothing could be done with this contract as presented; it will need to go to Amy for her review. If there are no issues with it, a resolution will need to be done so the money can be taken from the ARP funds for the standard allocation. Discussion continued as to whether the additional features would be included in the cost/resolution. Due to varying and increasing costs for the additional features, and the fact that fire levy money will be available, it was determined to write the resolution for the estimated price of \$273,152.

FROM THE TRUSTEES:

Cost for Public Records Requests: Discussion was held regarding the cost for public records requests currently, and what is being charged by the township: 5 cents per page, or \$1 per disk; a jump drive could cost more. These rates are set by Ohio Revised Code. After further discussion, Trustee Searle made a motion for any public records request that will total less than \$10 be free. Trustee Cotner seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion passed 3-0

Fiscal Officer Wyne will update the township policy.

Parking Request - Darrell Fitzpatrick: Fiscal Officer Wyne stated she had contacted Kim Arnold at OTARMA Risk Insurance; however, she hasn't heard back yet. She also had a call from Darrell and will contact him on Thursday. She stated the township will need a hold harmless agreement signed. Also, she noted Road Superintendent Shafer would need to be consulted to determine where Mr. Fitzpatrick's car could be parked.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of **Greenfield Township Trustees** *Meeting*

May 11, 2022 - 6:00 PM

Part-Time Employee - House Bill 564/Senate Bill 299: Trustee Searle stated he had been following both of these bills, which are essentially the same; one is effective for a twelve-month period; one has no end date. For townships under 50 employees, the bills increase the part-time employee hour limit from 29 hours to 38 hours per week, with no insurance requirement. For part-time firefighters, the hours are increased from 1,500 to 1,900, and this would not require a waiver.

Trustee Cotner made a motion to adjourn the meeting; Trustee Kosch seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Cotner: Yes Kosch: Yes Searle: Yes Motion passed 3-0
Meeting adjourned at 7:55 p.m.